arXiv:gr-qc/9605024v1 12 May 1996

Cosmological Perturbations in Generalized Gravity Theories: Conformal Transformation

Jai-chan Hwang
Department of Astronomy and Atmospheric Sciences, Kyungpook National University, Taegu, Korea

(February 7, 2008)

A broad class of generalized Einstein's gravity can be cast into Einstein's gravity with a minimally coupled scalar field using suitable conformal rescaling of the metric. Using this conformal equivalence between the theories, we derive the equations for the background and the perturbations, and the general asymptotic solutions for the perturbations in the generalized Einstein's gravity from the simple results known in the minimally coupled scalar field. Results for the scalar and tensor perturbations can be presented in unified forms. The large scale evolutions for both modes are characterized by corresponding conserved quantities. We also present the normalization condition for canonical quantization.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 04.62.+v, 98.80.Hw

I. INTRODUCTION

Studies of generalized forms of Einstein's theory as the theories for the gravity have been made by many authors. Some of the widely studied generalized gravity theories include Brans-Dicke theory, induced gravity, dilaton coupling, nonminimally coupled scalar field, nonlinear scalar curvature coupling, etc. We have variety of motivations for considering more generalized forms for the gravity: Mach principle, quantum backreaction, renormalization, higher dimensional unification, cosmology, etc. In the context of generalized gravity theories, the Einstein's gravity can be regarded as a limiting case.

In [1] we presented a thorough derivation of the equations and general asymptotic solutions describing the scalar and tensor type perturbations in the conventional cosmological spacetime supported by the generalized gravity. The progress made in [1] can be summarized as finding the role of a proper choice of the gauge in treating the scalar mode; previous studies in [2]- [4] were made in a different gauge condition. We found that by employing a suitable gauge the scalar mode can be described in a simple manner similarly as in the Einstein's gravity. When we deal with the minimally coupled scalar field we found that the choice of uniform-curvature gauge, or equivalently corresponding gauge invariant combination of variables, simplifies the problem; see [5]- [8]. In [1] we also found that when we deal with the generalized gravity involving the scalar field and the scalar curvature, the uniform-curvature gauge again suits the problem. In fact, we discovered that in the large scale limit, neglecting the transient mode, the same solution for the minimally coupled scalar field remains valid in a broad class of generalized Einstein's gravity. The equations and the general solutions for both the scalar and tensor modes can be presented in unified forms.

It is known in the literature that using a conformal transformation the class of generalized gravity theories we mentioned can be cast into Einstein's gravity with a minimally coupled scalar field, [9,2]. Using the mathematical equivalence between the generalized gravity theories and the simple minimally coupled scalar field under the conformal transformation, without losing any rigour, we can derive the equations and solutions in the generalized gravity directly from the known results in the Einstein's gravity. In this paper we use the conformal equivalence between the theories only as a mathematical tool; for some discussions concerning the physics, see [10]. The conformal transformation properties of the background and perturbed quantities in the cosmological spacetime are presented in [2,4]. However, at the time of the work in [2]- [4] the proper role of the uniform-curvature gauge was not known. Using the conformal transformation the rigorously derived results in [1] can be rederived in a considerably simple manner. In this paper we will present the derivation. We will also summarize the results for the individual gravity case in tabular forms.

II. GENERALIZED $f(\phi, R)$ GRAVITY

We consider a general class of gravity theories with the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2} f(\phi, R) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(\phi)\phi^{;a}\phi_{,a} - V(\phi). \qquad (1)$$

The gravitational field equation and the equation of motion for the scalar field are:

$$G_{ab} = \frac{1}{F}\left[\omega\left(\phi_{,a}\phi_{,b} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\phi^{;c}\phi_{,c}\right) - g_{ab}\frac{RF - f + 2V}{2} + F_{,a;b} - g_{ab}F^{;c}{}_{c}\right], \tag{2}$$

$$\phi^{;a}{}_{a} + \frac{1}{2\omega}\left(\omega_{,\phi}\phi^{;a}\phi_{,a} + f_{,\phi} - 2V_{,\phi}\right) = 0. \tag{3}$$

where we defined $F \equiv \partial f/(\partial R)$. We call it the generalized $f(\phi, R)$ gravity theory. It includes diverse classes of gravity theories as cases; see Table 1.

LOCATE TABLE 1.

III. CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATION TO EINSTEIN'S GRAVITY

Using the conformal transformation the generalized $f(\phi, R)$ gravity can be transformed to the Einstein gravity with an additional scalar field. By the conformal transformation the metric is redefined as

$$\hat{g}_{ab} = \Omega^2 g_{ab}, \tag{4}$$

where Ω is a spacetime position dependent factor. We use a hat to denote quantities based on conformally transformed metric frame. By defining the conformal factor as

$$\Omega \equiv \sqrt{F} \equiv e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\psi}, \tag{5}$$

where ψ is a new dynamical variable, one can show that (1) can be transformed into [for derivation, see (42,43) of [2]]

$$\hat{L} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{R} - \frac{\omega}{F}\frac{1}{2}\phi^{\hat{;}a}\phi_{;a} - \frac{1}{2}\psi^{\hat{;}a}\psi_{;a} - \hat{V}(\phi, \psi), \quad \hat{V}(\phi, \psi) \equiv \frac{RF - f + 2V}{2F^2}. \tag{6}$$

Thus, our original generalized $f(\phi, R)$ gravity is cast into the Einstein theory with an additional scalar field, ψ, and a special potential term $\hat{V}(\phi, \psi)$. In general, ϕ and ψ are dependent on each other. *Assuming* $\psi = \psi(\phi)$ and introducing a new scalar field $\hat{\phi}$ with $\hat{\phi} = \hat{\phi}(\phi)$, (6) can be transformed into a Lagrangian for the minimally coupled scalar field $\hat{\phi}$

$$\hat{L} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{R} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\phi}^{\hat{;}a}\hat{\phi}_{;a} - \hat{V}(\hat{\phi}). \tag{7}$$

For this, $\hat{\phi}$ should satisfy

$$d\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{F}d\phi^2 + d\psi^2}. \tag{8}$$

IV. PERTURBED UNIVERSE MODEL

As the metric describing the model universe, we consider a spatially homogeneous, isotropic, and flat (FLRW) background and general perturbations of the scalar and tensor type

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 + 2\alpha\right)dt^2 - a\beta_{,\alpha}dtdx^\alpha + a^2\left[g^{(3)}_{\alpha\beta}\left(1 + 2\varphi\right) + 2\gamma_{|\alpha\beta} + 2H_T Y^{(t)}_{\alpha\beta}\right]dx^\alpha dx^\beta. \tag{9}$$

$a(t)$ is the cosmic scale factor. $g^{(3)}_{\alpha\beta}$ is a comoving part of the background three-space metric and a vertical bar indicates a covariant derivative based on $g^{(3)}_{\alpha\beta}$; in the flat FLRW background we have $g^{(3)}_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$. $Y^{(t)}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x})$ is a symmetric, tracefree, and transverse harmonic function with $\nabla^2 Y^{(t)}_{\alpha\beta} = -k^2 Y^{(t)}_{\alpha\beta}$; ∇^2 is a Laplacian operator based on $g^{(3)}_{\alpha\beta}$. The perturbative order quantities $\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t)$, $\beta(\mathbf{x}, t)$, $\gamma(\mathbf{x}, t)$, and $\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ characterize the scalar mode, whereas $H_T(\mathbf{x}, t)$ characterizes the tensor mode. β and γ are affected by the spatial coordinate transformation in the FLRW spacetime. Since the FLRW spacetime is spatially homogeneous and isotropic we can easily avoid using these spatially gauge dependent variables. A combination $\chi(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv a(\beta + a\dot{\gamma})$ is such a variable that is spatially gauge invariant. For the scalar field we let $\phi(\mathbf{x},t) = \bar\phi(t) + \delta\phi(\mathbf{x},t)$ where an overbar indicates the background quantity; we neglect the overbar unless it is necessary. Now, the variables α, φ, χ, and $\delta\phi$ are spatially gauge invariant, but are temporally gauge dependent. H_T is gauge invariant. For the gauge transformation properties, see §2.2 of [11].

We decompose the conformal factor Ω into the background and the perturbed part as

$$\Omega(\mathbf{x},t) \equiv \bar\Omega(t)\Big[1 + \delta\Omega(\mathbf{x},t)\Big]. \tag{10}$$

From (5), we have:

$$\bar\Omega = \sqrt{F} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\psi}, \quad \delta\Omega = \frac{\delta F}{2F} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\delta\psi. \tag{11}$$

We can show that the only changes under the conformal transformation are the following [see §4.1 of [2] and §2.1 of [11]]:

$$\hat a = a\bar\Omega, \quad d\hat t = \bar\Omega dt, \quad \hat\alpha = \alpha + \delta\Omega, \quad \hat\varphi = \varphi + \delta\Omega. \tag{12}$$

Thus, for example, we have ($H \equiv \dot a/a$):

$$\hat H = \frac{1}{\Omega}\left(H + \frac{\dot\Omega}{\Omega}\right), \quad \hat\chi = \Omega\chi. \tag{13}$$

From (8) we can show:

$$\dot{\hat\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{F}\dot\phi^2 + \frac{3\dot F^2}{2F^2}}, \quad \frac{\delta\hat\phi}{\dot{\hat\phi}} = \frac{\delta\phi}{\dot\phi} = \frac{\delta F}{\dot F}. \tag{14}$$

Relations among $\hat\phi$, ϕ, and F in the individual gravity cases are summarized in Table 2.

LOCATE TABLE 2.

V. PERTURBATIONS IN THE EINSTEIN'S GRAVITY

We consider a minimally coupled scalar field. The Lagrangian is given in (7). In this section we *neglect* hats on the background and perturbed quantities. The equations describing the evolution of the background are [see (2-4) of [5]]:

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\dot\phi^2 + V\right), \quad \dot H = -\frac{1}{2}\dot\phi^2, \quad \ddot\phi + 3H\dot\phi + V_{,\phi} = 0. \tag{15}$$

The third equation follows from first two.

When we manage the gravity theory involving the scalar field our experience tells that the uniform-curvature gauge is the most convenient; equivalently we can take the gauge invariant variables with a subindex φ. For the uniform-curvature gauge, see §3 of [6]. Thorough perturbation analyses for a minimally coupled scalar field in the uniform-curvature gauge were made in [5]- [8]. An example of the gauge invariant combination is

$$\delta\phi_\varphi \equiv \delta\phi - \frac{\dot\phi}{H}\varphi \equiv -\frac{\dot\phi}{H}\varphi_{\delta\phi}. \tag{16}$$

$\delta\phi_\varphi$ becomes $\delta\phi$ in the uniform-curvature gauge which takes $\varphi \equiv 0$ as the gauge condition. The action expanded to the second order in perturbed scalar field is presented in (39) of [6] as

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\int a^3\left\{\delta\dot\phi_\varphi^2 - \frac{1}{a^2}\delta\phi_\varphi{}^{|\alpha}\delta\phi_{\varphi,\alpha} + \frac{H}{a^3\dot\phi}\left[a^3\left(\frac{\dot\phi}{H}\right)^{\cdot}\right]^{\cdot}\delta\phi_\varphi^2\right\}\sqrt{g^{(3)}}dtd^3x, \tag{17}$$

where in the flat FLRW background we have $g^{(3)} = 1$. A closed form equation for the *scalar field* perturbation and the large and small scale asymptotic solutions are [see (7,22,12,16) of [5]]:

$$\delta\ddot{\phi}_\varphi + 3H\delta\dot{\phi}_\varphi - \left\{ \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla^2 + \frac{H}{a^3\dot{\phi}}\left[a^3 \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H} \right)^{\cdot} \right]^{\cdot} \right\} \delta\phi_\varphi = 0, \tag{18}$$

$$\delta\phi_\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\left[-C(\mathbf{x}) + D(\mathbf{x}) \int^t \frac{H^2}{a^3\dot{\phi}^2} dt \right], \tag{19}$$

$$\delta\phi_\varphi(\mathbf{k}, \eta) = \frac{1}{a}\Big[c_1(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik\eta} + c_2(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik\eta} \Big], \tag{20}$$

where we used $d\eta \equiv dt/a$ and $\nabla^2 \to -k^2$. $C(\mathbf{x})$ and $D(\mathbf{x})$ in the large scale solution are the coefficients of the growing and decaying modes, respectively. Quantum field theoretical analyses of (18) in the context of cosmological curved spacetime can be found in [5,6,8]. Solutions in (19,20) are valid for a general $V(\phi)$. Using (15), (18) can be written in a compact form as [see (11) of [5]]

$$\frac{H}{a^3\dot{\phi}}\left[\frac{a^3\dot{\phi}^2}{H^2}\left(\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}}\delta\phi_\varphi \right)^{\cdot} \right]^{\cdot} - \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla^2\delta\phi_\varphi = 0. \tag{21}$$

The equation and the asymptotic solutions for the *gravitational wave* are [see (101) of [11]]:

$$\ddot{H}_T + 3H\dot{H}_T - \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla^2 H_T = 0, \tag{22}$$

$$H_T(\mathbf{x}, t) = C_g(\mathbf{x}) - D_g(\mathbf{x}) \int_0^t \frac{1}{a^3} dt, \tag{23}$$

$$H_T(\mathbf{k}, \eta) = -\frac{1}{a}\Big[c_{g1}(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik\eta} + c_{g2}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik\eta} \Big]. \tag{24}$$

The action for the gravitational wave mode can be found in §18 of [12]. The *vorticity mode* does not directly couple with the scalar type gravity theory; it will evolve according to the angular momentum conservation in the expanding background [see §3.2.2 of [2]].

VI. PERTURBATIONS IN GENERALIZED EINSTEIN'S GRAVITIES

Using (12) we can show that the following quantities are invariant under the conformal transformation:

$$d\eta, \quad \nabla^2, \quad k, \quad \varphi_{\delta\phi}, \quad \frac{H}{\dot{\phi}}\delta\phi_\varphi, \quad H_T. \tag{25}$$

We regard the quantities in §V, (15-24), are in the conformal frame, thus with hats on them. Using the conformal transformation properties in (10-14,25) we can derive the corresponding counterparts in the original frame which are now valid for gravity theories included in the generalized $f(\phi, R)$ gravity.

For the background, (15) leads to

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3F}\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{RF - f + 2V}{2} - 3H\dot{F} \right), \tag{26}$$

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{1}{2F}\left(\omega\dot{\phi}^2 + \ddot{F} - H\dot{F} \right), \tag{27}$$

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{2\omega}\left(\omega_{,\phi}\dot{\phi}^2 - f_{,\phi} + 2V_{,\phi} \right) = 0. \tag{28}$$

When we derive (28) we may need $R = 6(2H^2 + \dot{H})$; (28) also follows from (26,27).

The same form of (16) remains valid in the generalized Einstein's gravity. The action in (17) becomes (we ignore the surface terms)

$$\begin{aligned} S = \frac{1}{2}\int a^3 \frac{\omega + \frac{3\dot{F}^2}{2\dot{\phi}^2 F}}{\left(1 + \frac{\dot{F}}{2HF}\right)^2} \Bigg\{ & \delta\dot{\phi}_\varphi^2 - \frac{1}{a^2}\delta\phi_\varphi{}^{|\alpha}\delta\phi_{\varphi,\alpha} \\ & + \frac{H}{a^3\dot{\phi}} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\dot{F}}{2HF}\right)^2}{\omega + \frac{3\dot{F}^2}{2\dot{\phi}^2 F}} \left[a^3 \frac{\omega + \frac{3\dot{F}^2}{2\dot{\phi}^2 F}}{\left(1 + \frac{\dot{F}}{2HF}\right)^2} \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H} \right)^{\cdot} \right]^{\cdot} \delta\phi_\varphi^2 \Bigg\} \sqrt{g^{(3)}} dt d^3x. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

For the scalar mode, (18-20) lead to:

$$\delta\ddot{\phi}_\varphi + \left\{ 3H + \frac{\left(1+\frac{\dot F}{2HF}\right)^2}{\omega + \frac{3\dot F^2}{2\dot\phi^2 F}} \left[\frac{\omega + \frac{3\dot F^2}{2\dot\phi^2 F}}{\left(1+\frac{\dot F}{2HF}\right)^2} \right]^{\cdot} \right\} \delta\dot{\phi}_\varphi$$

$$- \left\{ \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla^2 + \frac{H}{a^3\dot\phi} \frac{\left(1+\frac{\dot F}{2HF}\right)^2}{\omega + \frac{3\dot F^2}{2\dot\phi^2 F}} \left[\frac{\omega + \frac{3\dot F^2}{2\dot\phi^2 F}}{\left(1+\frac{\dot F}{2HF}\right)^2} a^3 \left(\frac{\dot\phi}{H}\right)^{\cdot} \right]^{\cdot} \right\} \delta\phi_\varphi = 0, \tag{30}$$

$$\delta\phi_\varphi(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{\dot\phi}{H}\left[-C(\mathbf{x}) + D(\mathbf{x}) \int_0^t \frac{\left(H + \frac{\dot F}{2F}\right)^2}{a^3\left(\omega\dot\phi^2 + \frac{3\dot F^2}{2F}\right)} dt \right], \tag{31}$$

$$\delta\phi_\varphi(\mathbf{k},\eta) = \frac{1}{a}\frac{1+\frac{\dot F}{2HF}}{\sqrt{\omega + \frac{3\dot F^2}{2\dot\phi^2 F}}}\Big[c_1(\mathbf{k})e^{ik\eta} + c_2(\mathbf{k})e^{ik\eta} \Big]. \tag{32}$$

In order to derive (30) it is much simpler to use (21) which leads to

$$\frac{\dot\phi}{H}\frac{\left(H+\frac{\dot F}{2F}\right)^2}{a^3\left(\omega\dot\phi^2 + \frac{3\dot F^2}{2F}\right)} \left[\frac{a^3\left(\omega\dot\phi^2 + \frac{3\dot F^2}{2F}\right)}{\left(H+\frac{\dot F}{2F}\right)^2} \left(\frac{H}{\dot\phi}\delta\phi_\varphi \right)^{\cdot} \right]^{\cdot} - \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla^2\delta\phi_\varphi = 0. \tag{33}$$

By expanding (33) we get (30). For the scalar mode, we use $\delta\phi$ as the representative one; for theories without $\delta\phi$, like $f(R)$ gravity, we can use (14).

For the gravitational wave, from (22-24) we have:

$$\ddot H_T + \left(3H + \frac{\dot F}{F}\right)\dot H_T - \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla^2 H_T = 0, \tag{34}$$

$$H_T(\mathbf{x},t) = C_g(\mathbf{x}) - D_g(\mathbf{x})\int_0^t \frac{1}{a^3 F}dt, \tag{35}$$

$$H_T(\mathbf{k},\eta) = -\frac{1}{a\sqrt{F}}\Big[c_{g1}(\mathbf{k})e^{ik\eta} + c_{g2}(\mathbf{k})e^{-ik\eta} \Big]. \tag{36}$$

In the Einstein gravity limit with a minimally coupled scalar field we have $F = 1 = \omega$ and, apparently, (26-36) reduce to the corresponding equations in §V. Remarkably, the growing modes of $\delta\phi_\varphi$ and H_T in (31,35) do not involve F or ω. This implies that, for the growing mode in the large scale limit, the same solutions in the Einstein's gravity remain valid in the generalized gravity theories.

Thus, we complete our derivation of the results valid in a class of generalized Einstein's gravity theories directly from the known results in the Einstein's gravity using the conformal transformation. The method is generally valid independently of the gauge conditions.

VII. COMPARISON WITH THE ZERO-SHEAR GAUGE

The large scale asymptotic solutions in the zero-shear gauge are derived in [2]- [4]; studies in [12,13] are also based on this gauge condition. From (16,18) of [4] we have:

$$\delta\phi_\chi(\mathbf{x},t) = -C(\mathbf{x})\frac{\dot\phi}{aF}\int_0^t aF dt + d(\mathbf{x})\frac{\dot\phi}{aF}, \tag{37}$$

$$\varphi_\chi(\mathbf{x},t) = C(\mathbf{x})\left(1 - \frac{H}{aF}\int_0^t aF dt\right) + d(\mathbf{x})\frac{H}{aF}, \tag{38}$$

where $d(\mathbf{x})$ is a coefficient of the decaying solution. Einstein's gravity limits of (38,37) and the relation through the conformal equivalence can be shown easily; to show that the following relations are useful:

$$\frac{\delta\hat{\phi}_\chi}{\dot{\hat{\phi}}} = \frac{\delta\phi_\chi}{\dot{\phi}} = \frac{\delta F_\chi}{\dot{F}}, \quad \hat{\varphi}_\chi = \varphi_\chi + \frac{\delta F_\chi}{2F}. \tag{39}$$

From §2.2 of [11] we note that $\varphi_\chi \equiv \varphi - H\chi \equiv -H\chi_\varphi$ and $\delta\phi_\chi \equiv \delta\phi - \dot{\phi}\chi$ are gauge invariant combination which becomes φ and $\delta\phi$, respectively, under the zero-shear gauge which takes $\chi \equiv 0$ as the gauge condition. Thus, from (16,37,38) we can derive the solution in the large scale limit as

$$\delta\phi_\varphi = \delta\phi - \frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\varphi = \delta\phi_\chi - \frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\varphi_\chi = -\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}C(\mathbf{x}). \tag{40}$$

Notice that the decaying solution in the zero-shear gauge cancels out in the uniform-curvature gauge. In fact, in [1] we derived

$$D(\mathbf{x}) = -2\nabla^2 d(\mathbf{x}). \tag{41}$$

Using the conformal transformation the proof of (41) becomes simple: In the case of minimally coupled scalar field, from (8-10) of [7] we can derive

$$\frac{1}{a^2}\nabla^2\varphi_\chi = \frac{\dot{H}}{H}\dot{\varphi}_{\delta\phi}. \tag{42}$$

From (16,19,38) we can show (41). Since (41) is invariant under the conformal transformation, it remains valid for the generalized Einstein's gravity theories, thus is proved. Thus, although it looks complicated, the decaying mode in the uniform-curvature gauge is *higher order* term in the large scale expansion compared with the one in the zero-shear gauge. We also note that the growing mode solution is *simpler* in the uniform-curvature gauge; for example, it does not involve F which characterizes the non-Einsteinian nature of the theory. Furthermore, the small scale solution in the uniform-curvature gauge (32) is also much simpler than the one in the zero-shear gauge which is presented in [3]. The equation for $\delta\phi_\chi$ in the minimally coupled scalar field is presented in (37) of [7] which can be compared with the one for $\delta\phi_\varphi$ in (18).

VIII. QUANTIZATION

In this section we present the normalization condition arising from the canonical quantization of the perturbed part of the metric and the scalar field. Instead of the classical decomposition we replace $\delta\phi(\mathbf{x},t)$ with a quantum (Heisenberg representation) operator $\delta\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x},t)$ as

$$\phi(\mathbf{x},t) = \bar{\phi}(t) + \delta\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x},t). \tag{43}$$

In this section an overhat indicates the quantum operator. The corresponding perturbed parts of the metric and matter variables are similarly changed into operators. We call this approach the *perturbative semiclassical approximation* [6]. Since we are considering a *flat* three-space background we may expand $\delta\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x},t)$ in the following mode expansion

$$\delta\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x},t) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\left[\hat{a}_\mathbf{k}\delta\phi_\mathbf{k}(t)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + \hat{a}^\dagger_\mathbf{k}\delta\phi^*_\mathbf{k}(t)e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\right]. \tag{44}$$

The annihilation and creation operators $\hat{a}_\mathbf{k}$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger_\mathbf{k}$ satisfy the standard commutation relations:

$$[\hat{a}_\mathbf{k}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}'}] = 0, \quad [\hat{a}^\dagger_\mathbf{k}, \hat{a}^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}'}] = 0, \quad [\hat{a}_\mathbf{k}, \hat{a}^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}'}] = \delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'). \tag{45}$$

$\delta\phi_\mathbf{k}(t)$ is the mode function, a complex solution of the classical mode evolution equation. (30) becomes equation for $\delta\hat{\phi}_\varphi$. Using (44) we can derive the equation for the mode function $\delta\phi_{\varphi\mathbf{k}}$ which satisfies the same form as (30).

From the action for the perturbed scalar field in (29) we can derive the conjugate momenta and the commutation relation. Using $S = \int \mathcal{L}dtd^3x$ and $g^{(3)} = 1$, we have

$$\delta\pi_\varphi(\mathbf{x},t) \equiv \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\delta\dot{\phi}_\varphi} = a^3\frac{\omega + \frac{3\dot{F}^2}{2\dot{\phi}^2F}}{\left(1 + \frac{\dot{F}}{2HF}\right)^2}\delta\dot{\phi}_\varphi(\mathbf{x},t). \tag{46}$$

Thus, from $[\delta\hat{\phi}_\varphi(\mathbf{x},t), \delta\hat{\pi}_\varphi(\mathbf{x}',t)] = i\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')$ we have the equal-time commutation relation

$$[\delta\hat{\phi}_\varphi(\mathbf{x},t), \delta\dot{\hat{\phi}}_\varphi(\mathbf{x}',t)] = \frac{i}{a^3}\frac{\left(1+\frac{\dot{F}}{2HF}\right)^2}{\omega+\frac{3\dot{F}^2}{2\dot{\phi}^2F}}\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'). \tag{47}$$

In order for (45) to be in accord with (47), the mode function $\delta\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ should follow the Wronskian condition

$$\delta\phi_{\varphi\mathbf{k}}\delta\dot{\phi}^*_{\varphi\mathbf{k}} - \delta\phi^*_{\varphi\mathbf{k}}\delta\dot{\phi}_{\varphi\mathbf{k}} = \frac{i}{a^3}\frac{\left(1+\frac{\dot{F}}{2HF}\right)^2}{\omega+\frac{3\dot{F}^2}{2\dot{\phi}^2F}}. \tag{48}$$

(48) can be used as the normalization condition for the quantum fluctuation which fixes the amplitude up to vacuum choice; the choice of vacuum depends on that of the mode function.

Definitions of the two-point function and the power spectrum based on the vacuum expectation value are presented in §IIIA of [5]. In some special expansion stages in the case of the minimally coupled scalar field the rigorous analyses of the generated quantum fluctuations are presented in [5,6,8].

IX. DISCUSSIONS

In this paper we have derived the equations and the general asymptotic solutions, characterizing the perturbed universe model, which are valid in a wide class of generalized gravity theories. Straightforward derivations of the results in §VI without addressing to the conformal equivalence with the minimally coupled scalar field are presented in [1]. The equations and the asymptotic solutions for the scalar and tensor modes in §VI can be written in unified forms; see Table 3.

LOCATE TABLE 3.

We note that growing modes of $\varphi_{\delta\phi}$ and H_T are conserved in the large scale limit. Ignoring the transient modes, from (16,31,35) we have:

$$\varphi_{\delta\phi}(\mathbf{x},t) = C(\mathbf{x}), \quad H_T(\mathbf{x},t) = C_g(\mathbf{x}). \tag{49}$$

The generalized gravity theories we have considered in this paper do not include the following types of generalized gravity theories in the Lagrangian: general couplings with the Ricci or the conformal curvature like $R_{ab}R^{ab}$ or $C_{abcd}C^{abcd}$, couplings involving general derivatives of the scalar curvature and the scalar field, etc. Cosmological perturbation analyses in the context of these more generalized gravity theories, and quantum field theoretical counterparts of the classical results presented in this paper are the challenging subjects left for future studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. H. Noh for valuable discussions. This work was supported in part by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation through the SRC program of SNU-CTP.

---

[1] J. Hwang, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 762 (1996); J. Hwang and H. Noh, unpublished (1996).
[2] J. Hwang, Class. Quantum Grav. **7**, 1613 (1990).
[3] J. Hwang, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 2601 (1990).
[4] J. Hwang, Class. Quantum Grav. **8**, 195 (1991).
[5] J. Hwang, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 3544 (1993).
[6] J. Hwang, Class. Quantum Grav. **11**, 2305 (1994).
[7] J. Hwang, Astrophys. J. **427**, 542 (1994).
[8] J. Hwang, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **28**, S502 (1995); J. Hwang and H. Minn, in Proceedings of the second Alexander Friedmann international seminar on gravitation and cosmology, edited by Yu. N. Gnedin, A. A. Grib and V. M. Mostepanenko (St. Petersburg, Friedmann Laboratory Publishing, 1994), 265.

[9] R. H. Dicke, Phys. Rev. **125**, 2163 (1962); B. Whitt, Phys. Lett. B **145**, 176 (1984); K. Maeda, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 3159 (1989).

[10] C. H. Brans, Class. Quant. Grav. **5**, L197 (1988); Y. M. Cho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3133 (1992).

[11] J. Hwang, Astrophys. J. **375**, 443 (1991).

[12] V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rep. **215**, 203 (1992);

[13] L. A. Kofman and V. F. Mukhanov, JETP Lett. **44**, 619 (1986); V. F. Mukhanov, L. A. Kofman and D. Yu. Pogosyan, Phys. Lett B **193**, 427 (1987); L. A. Kofman, V. F. Mukhanov and D. Yu. Pogosyan, Sov. Phys. JETP **66**, 433 (1988); V. F. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett., B **218**, 17 (1989); M. B. Baibosunov, V. Ts. Gurovich and U. M. Imanaliev, Sov. Phys. JETP **71**, 636 (1990).

Table 1: Cases of the generalized $f(\phi, R)$ gravity.

$f(\phi, R)$ gravity	$L = \frac{1}{2} f(\phi, R) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(\phi)\phi^{;a}\phi_{,a} - V(\phi)$	$F = F(\phi, R)$
$f(R)$ gravity	$L = \frac{1}{2} f(R)$	$F = F(R), \quad \phi = 0$
R^2 gravity	$L = \frac{1}{2}\left(R - \frac{R^2}{6M^2}\right)$	$F = 1 - \frac{R}{3M^2}, \quad \phi = 0$
Generalizes scalar tensor theory	$L = \phi R - \omega(\phi)\frac{\phi^{;a}\phi_{,a}}{\phi} - V(\phi)$	$F = 2\phi, \quad \omega \to 2\frac{\omega(\phi)}{\phi}$
Brans-Dicke theory	$L = \phi R - \omega\frac{\phi^{;a}\phi_{,a}}{\phi}$	$F = 2\phi, \quad \omega \to 2\frac{\omega}{\phi}, \quad V = 0$
$F(\phi)R$ gravity	$L = \frac{1}{2}F(\phi)R - \frac{1}{2}\omega(\phi)\phi^{;a}\phi_{,a} - V(\phi)$	$F = F(\phi)$
Dilaton gravity	$L = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\phi}\left(R - \phi^{;a}\phi_{,a}\right)$	$F = e^{-\phi}, \quad \omega = e^{-\phi}, \quad V = 0$
Generally coupled scalar field	$L = \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma - \xi\phi^2\right)R - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{;a}\phi_{,a} - V(\phi)$	$F = \gamma - \xi\phi^2, \quad \omega = 1$
Nonminimally coupled scalar field	$L = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \xi\phi^2\right)R - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{;a}\phi_{,a} - V(\phi)$	$F = 1 - \xi\phi^2, \quad \omega = 1$
Conformal coupling	$L = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{6}\phi^2\right)R - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{;a}\phi_{,a} - V(\phi)$	$F = 1 - \frac{1}{6}\phi^2, \quad \omega = 1$
Minimally coupled scalar field	$L = \frac{1}{2}R - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{;a}\phi_{,a} - V(\phi)$	$F = 1, \quad \omega = 1$
Induced gravity	$L = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\phi^2 R - \frac{1}{2}\phi^{;a}\phi_{,a} - V(\phi)$	$F = \epsilon\phi^2, \quad \omega = 1$

Table 2: Conformally transformed scalar field.

General forms	$d\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{F} d\phi^2 + \frac{3dF^2}{2F^2}}$	$\dot{\hat{\phi}} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{F} \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{3\dot{F}^2}{2F^2}}$	$\frac{\delta\hat{\phi}}{\dot{\hat{\phi}}} = \frac{\delta\phi}{\dot{\phi}} = \frac{\delta F}{\dot{F}}$	$\hat{V} = \frac{RF-f+2V}{2F^2}$
$f(R)$ gravity, R^2 gravity	$\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \ln F$	$\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \ln F$	$\delta\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\delta F}{F}$	$\hat{V} = \frac{RF-f}{2F^2}$
Generalizes scalar tensor theory	$\hat{\phi} = \int \sqrt{\omega(\phi) + \frac{3}{2}} \frac{d\phi}{\phi}$	$\dot{\hat{\phi}} = \sqrt{\omega(\phi) + \frac{3}{2}} \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}$	$\frac{\delta\hat{\phi}}{\dot{\hat{\phi}}} = \frac{\delta\phi}{\dot{\phi}}$	$\hat{V} = \frac{V}{4\phi^2}$
Brans-Dicke theory	$\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{\omega + \frac{3}{2}} \ln \phi$	$\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{\omega + \frac{3}{2}} \ln \phi$	$\delta\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{\omega + \frac{3}{2}} \frac{\delta\phi}{\phi}$	$\hat{V} = 0$
$F(\phi)R$ gravity	$\hat{\phi} = \int \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{F} + \frac{3}{2F^2} \left(\frac{dF}{d\phi}\right)^2} d\phi$	$\hat{\phi} = \int \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{F} + \frac{3\dot{F}^2}{2F^2\dot{\phi}^2}} d\phi$	$\frac{\delta\hat{\phi}}{\dot{\hat{\phi}}} = \frac{\delta\phi}{\dot{\phi}}$	$\hat{V} = \frac{V}{2F^2}$
Dilaton gravity	$\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \phi$	$\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \phi$	$\delta\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} \delta\phi$	$\hat{V} = 0$
Generally coupled scalar field	$\hat{\phi} = \int \frac{\sqrt{\gamma + \xi(6\xi-1)\phi^2}}{\gamma - \xi\phi^2} d\phi$	$\dot{\hat{\phi}} = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma + \xi(6\xi-1)\phi^2}}{\gamma - \xi\phi^2} \dot{\phi}$	$\frac{\delta\hat{\phi}}{\dot{\hat{\phi}}} = \frac{\delta\phi}{\dot{\phi}}$	$\hat{V} = \frac{V}{\gamma - \xi\phi^2}$
Nonminimally coupled scalar field	$\hat{\phi} = \int \frac{\sqrt{1 + \xi(6\xi-1)\phi^2}}{1 - \xi\phi^2} d\phi$	$\dot{\hat{\phi}} = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \xi(6\xi-1)\phi^2}}{1 - \xi\phi^2} \dot{\phi}$	$\frac{\delta\hat{\phi}}{\dot{\hat{\phi}}} = \frac{\delta\phi}{\dot{\phi}}$	$\hat{V} = \frac{V}{1 - \xi\phi^2}$
Conformal coupling	$\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{6} \tanh^{-1} \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{6} \tanh^{-1} \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6}}$	$\delta\hat{\phi} = \frac{\delta\phi}{1 - \frac{1}{6}\phi^2}$	$\hat{V} = \frac{V}{1 - \frac{1}{6}\phi^2}$
Minimally coupled scalar field	$\hat{\phi} = \phi$	$\hat{\phi} = \phi$	$\delta\hat{\phi} = \delta\phi$	$\hat{V} = V$
Induced gravity	$\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{6 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \ln \phi$	$\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{6 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \ln \phi$	$\delta\hat{\phi} = \sqrt{6 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \frac{\delta\phi}{\phi}$	$\hat{V} = \frac{V}{\epsilon\phi^2}$

Table 3: Unified form expressions for the scalar and tensor modes. We have $v \equiv -z\Phi$ and $z \equiv a\sqrt{Q}$.

Action (for scalar mode)	$S = \frac{1}{2} \int a^3 Q \left(\dot{\Phi}^2 - \frac{1}{a^2} \Phi^{\vert\alpha} \Phi_{,\alpha} \right) \sqrt{g^{(3)}} dt d^3x$	$S = \frac{1}{2} \int \left(v'^2 - v^{\vert\alpha} v_{,\alpha} + \frac{z''}{z} v^2 \right) \sqrt{g^{(3)}} d\eta d^3x$
Equation	$\ddot{\Phi} + \left(3H + \frac{\dot{Q}}{Q} \right) \dot{\Phi} - \frac{1}{a^2} \nabla^2 \Phi = 0$	$v'' - \left(\nabla^2 + \frac{z''}{z} \right) v = 0$
Large scale	$\Phi = C(\mathbf{x}) - D(\mathbf{x}) \int_0^t (a^3 Q)^{-1} dt$	$v = -z \left[C(\mathbf{x}) - D(\mathbf{x}) \int_0^\eta z^{-2} d\eta \right]$
Small scale	$\Phi = -(a\sqrt{Q})^{-1} \left[c_1(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik\eta} + c_2(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik\eta} \right]$	$v = c_1(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik\eta} + c_2(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik\eta}$
Scalar mode	$\Phi = \varphi_{\delta\phi}, \quad Q = \frac{\omega\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{3\dot{F}^2}{2F}}{\left(H + \frac{\dot{F}}{2F} \right)^2}$	$v = -z\varphi_{\delta\phi}, \quad z = a \frac{\sqrt{\omega\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{3\dot{F}^2}{2F}}}{H + \frac{\dot{F}}{2F}}$
Tensor mode	$\Phi = H_T, \quad Q = F$	$v = -zH_T, \quad z = a\sqrt{F}$